

From: [REDACTED]
To: [SizewellC](#)
Subject: Sizewell C objections
Date: 08 October 2021 19:31:59

To whom it may concern,

I wish to make a formal objection to the plan to build Sizewell C .

The time it would take to build means that it would not generate power for at least ten years. It will be a costly project funding for which would be better invested in renewable energy production. The other EPRs currently under production in France and Finland are delayed and the only operating EPR in China has been closed.

It will not contribute to net zero until 2040, using a lot of carbon to build and adding to the climate crisis we are already experiencing. For consumers to be required to pay for this build will only add to rocketing prices for fuel and gas.

Nuclear energy is not green energy as there is no long term solution for dangerous nuclear waste.

It would damage our local economy, a site of special environmental value and will not provide work for local people as EDF will bring in workers from Hinckley. Visitors would stay away, losing the tourism industry up to £40 million a year (independent research) and losing 400 jobs. EDF admits 725 'local' staff would come from other businesses. There would be around 12,000 extra vehicles a day on the A12, including 700 HGVs. Toxic nuclear waste would have to remain on site for well over 100 years, and this is on a rapidly eroding coast. The site is wholly within the Suffolk Coast & Heaths Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. Construction will cut the AONB in half for a decade. The site adjoins internationally famous RSPB Minsmere reserve, and some of Sizewell Marshes Site of Scientific Interest will be built on.

Yours sincerely,

Ruth Walker

